

Journal of Geometry and Physics 27 (1998) 221-229

JOURNAL OF GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS

Some remarks on topological 4D-gravity

Frank Thuillier

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique EN SLAPP¹, LAPP, Chemin de Bellevue, BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France

Received 17 June 1997; received in revised form 27 October 1997

Abstract

We show that the method of Wu [J. Geom. Phys. 12 (1993) 205] to study topological 4D-gravity can be understood within a standard method now designed to produce equivariant cohomology classes. Next, this general framework is applied to produce some observables of the topological 4D-gravity. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

Subj. Class.: Quantum gravity 1991 MSC: 83C45 Keywords: 4D-gravity; Cohomology classes; Observables

1. Introduction

Since their appearance in 1988 in a famous article of Witten [13], topological field theories have played an important role in theoretical physics as well as in mathematics. Actually, the 1988 article gave a prototype of topological field theories of cohomological type. Witten has recognized that these cohomological field theories are related to equivariant cohomology and more precisely to the so-called Cartan model of equivariant cohomology.

Although cohomological field theories can be described independently of the models used for equivariant cohomology, the construction by Kalkman [9] of the so-called intermediate model [12] is of considerable technical help. In [12], topological Yang–Mills [1,3,13] and topological 2D gravity [4,5] were studied from this point of view. In [2], new representatives of the Thom class of a vector bundle were produced using this general framework.

Wu [14] explained the role of the universal bundle in 4D gravity, 2 and exhibited some observables of the corresponding topological model. We shall explain here how his method can be deduced from the general approach of [12] and which observables are obtained.

¹ URA 14-36 du CNRS, associée à l'Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon et à l'Université de Savoie.

² 4D topological gravity was first proposed by Witten [13].

2. From the intermediate to the Weil model of equivariant cohomology

In [12] it was explained how one can generate representatives of equivariant cohomology classes using an idea of [6] which benefits from Kalkman's construction [9] as follows: let us assume that \mathcal{M} is a smooth manifold with a smooth \mathcal{G} -action for some connected Lie group \mathcal{G} (with Lie algebra Lie \mathcal{G}). Let $d_{\mathcal{M}}$, $i_{\mathcal{M}}$, $l_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the standard exterior derivative, inner product and Lie derivative on \mathcal{M} . The action of \mathcal{G} induces an action of Lie \mathcal{G} , and to any $\lambda \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$, there corresponds a so-called fundamental vector field $\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ on \mathcal{M} . The space of forms on \mathcal{M} is denoted by $\Omega(\mathcal{M})$, and its basic elements are those annihilated both by $i_{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda)$ and $l_{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda)$, for any $\lambda \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$. We recall that $l_{\mathcal{M}} = [d_{\mathcal{M}}, i_{\mathcal{M}}]_{+}$.

The Weil algebra $(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G}), d_{\mathcal{W}}, i_{\mathcal{W}}, l_{\mathcal{W}})$ of \mathcal{G} is the graded differential algebra generated by the "connection ω " and its "curvature Ω "

$$d_{\mathcal{W}}\omega = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega],\tag{1}$$

$$d_{\mathcal{W}}\Omega = -[\omega,\Omega],\tag{2}$$

$$i_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda)\omega = \lambda,$$
 (3)

$$i_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda)\Omega = 0,\tag{4}$$

$$l_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda)\omega = -[\lambda, \omega], \tag{5}$$

$$l_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda)\Omega = -[\lambda,\Omega],\tag{6}$$

for any $\lambda \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$.

Then the equivariant cohomology for the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{M} is the basic cohomology of the graded differential algebra $(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \Omega(\mathcal{M}), d_{\mathcal{W}} + d_{\mathcal{M}}, i_{\mathcal{W}} + i_{\mathcal{M}}, l_{\mathcal{W}} + l_{\mathcal{M}})$. It generates the so-called Weil model of equivariant cohomology.

Now let us consider another Lie group H such that \mathcal{M} is the base space of some principal H-bundle $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}, H)$ on which the action of \mathcal{G} can be lifted. This bundle is also equipped with standard differential operations: $d_{\mathcal{P}}, i_{\mathcal{P}}, l_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then some equivariant cohomology classes can be represented as follows: consider a \mathcal{G} -invariant H-connection Γ on \mathcal{P} . Extend Γ to $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \Omega(\mathcal{M})$, still denoting it Γ . Since Γ does not depend on ω , it fulfills

$$i_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda)\Gamma = 0,\tag{7}$$

$$(l_{\mathcal{W}} + l_{\mathcal{P}})(\lambda)\Gamma = 0, \tag{8}$$

for any $\lambda \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$. This expresses the basicity of Γ in the so-called intermediate model of equivariant cohomology. In this model, the exterior derivative reads

$$D_{\rm int} = d_{\mathcal{W}} + d_{\mathcal{P}} + l_{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) - i_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega) \tag{9}$$

so that

$$D_{\rm int}\Gamma = d_{\mathcal{P}}\Gamma - i_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega)\Gamma \tag{10}$$

and the equivariant curvature of Γ in the intermediate model reads

$$R_{\rm int}^{\rm eq}(\Gamma,\omega,\Omega) = D_{\rm int}\Gamma + \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma,\Gamma].$$
(11)

It satisfies

$$D_{\rm int}R_{\rm int}^{\rm eq} = [R_{\rm int}^{\rm eq}, \Gamma], \tag{12}$$

$$i_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda)R_{\rm int}^{\rm eq} = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$(l_{\mathcal{W}} + l_{\mathcal{P}})\lambda R_{\rm int}^{\rm eq} = 0. \tag{14}$$

The *H*-fibration is eliminated by considering symmetric *H*-invariant polynomials $I_{int}^{eq} = I(R_{int}^{eq})$.

To go to the more usual Weil model, we use the Kalkman differential algebra isomorphism $\exp\{i_{\mathcal{P}}(\omega)\}$, thus obtaining

$$(d_{\mathcal{W}} + d_{\mathcal{P}})I_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{cq}} = 0, \tag{15}$$

$$(i_{\mathcal{W}} + i_{\mathcal{P}})(\lambda)I_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}} = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$(l_{\mathcal{W}} + l_{\mathcal{P}})(\lambda) I_{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{eq}} = 0, \tag{17}$$

where $I_{W}^{eq} = \exp\{i_{\mathcal{P}}(\omega)\}I_{int}^{eq}$. Now since the *H*-fibration has disappeared, I_{W}^{eq} lies in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \Omega(\mathcal{M})$. Under the assumption that \mathcal{M} is a principal \mathcal{G} -bundle over \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{G} , we can replace ω and Ω by a \mathcal{G} -connection θ and its curvature Θ on \mathcal{M} . Cartan's Theorem 3 guarantees that our new representative gives a representative of the same equivariant cohomology class [7,12]. Still denoting this representative by I_{W}^{eq} , we verify that

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}I_{W}^{\text{eq}} = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$i_{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda)I_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}} = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$l_{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda)I_{W}^{\text{eq}} = 0.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Now, we are ready to use this method in topological 4D-gravity.

3. Wu's construction [14] in topological 4D-gravity

Let Σ be a 4D smooth manifold. The fundamental objects in Gr_4^{top} are the metrics of Σ and the generators of the Weil algebra of $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma)$, the connected component of the diffeomorphism group of Σ . The structure equations then read

$$s^{\text{top}}g = \Psi + L^{\text{top}}(\omega)g,\tag{21}$$

$$s^{\text{top}}\Psi = -L^{\text{top}}(\Omega)g + L^{\text{top}}(\omega)\Psi,$$
(22)

$$s^{\text{top}}\omega = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega],$$
 (23)

$$s^{\text{top}}\Omega = -[\omega,\Omega]. \tag{24}$$

Let us note that the form of these structure equations is universal (i.e. independent of the model we choose). Now, let us apply the precepts of the previous section. The group of diffeomorphisms of Σ plays the role of the gauge group \mathcal{G} over $Met(\Sigma)$. The *H*-fibration is obtained by considering the frame bundle over Σ , $F(\Sigma)$,³ and our final principal

³ Note that $F(\Sigma)$ is the principal bundle associated to the tangent vector bundle $T\Sigma$ of Σ .

 $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle \mathcal{P} is just $Met(\Sigma) \times F(\Sigma)$. The $Diff(\Sigma)$ -invariant $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ -connection Γ on $Met(\Sigma) \times F(\Sigma)$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} = \Gamma^{\rm LC}(g)_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\nu}\delta g_{\nu\mu}, \qquad (25)$$

where $\Gamma^{LC}(g)$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g \in Met(\Sigma)$, and δ is the exterior derivative on $Met(\Sigma)$ [4,8].

This $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ -connection is used in the intermediate model. Before going any further, let us notice that in the Weil model, this connection reads

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} - (i_{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega)\Gamma)_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}, \tag{26}$$

which is comparable with (2.5) in [14]. Now, the intermediate curvature

$$R_{\rm int}^{\rm eq}(\Gamma,\omega,\Omega) = D_{\rm int}\Gamma - \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma,\Gamma]$$
(27)

gives the corresponding Weil curvature

$$R_{W}^{eq}(\Gamma, \omega, \Omega) = \exp\{i_{\mathcal{P}}(\omega)\}R_{int}^{eq}(\Gamma, \omega, \Omega)$$
$$= (d_{\mathcal{W}} + d_{\mathcal{P}})\tilde{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\Gamma}], \qquad (28)$$

which is of the form (2.6) of Wu [14].

Now, let us construct some observables.

4. Some observables for topological 4D-gravity

In order to generate observables of the theory, we first eliminate the GL(4, \mathbb{R})-fibration. As explained in Section 2 this is achieved by considering symmetric GL(4, \mathbb{R})-invariant polynomials. The Euler class and the Pontrjagin classes generated by R_{W}^{eq} are such polynomials [10]. Actually, only the first Pontrjagin class is relevant.⁴ Up to normalization factors, those two cohomology classes are given by

$$E_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}} = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}} g_{\nu\lambda}g_{\sigma\chi}(R_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}})^{\lambda}_{\mu} \wedge (R_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}})^{\chi}_{\rho}, \qquad (29)$$

$$P_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}} = (\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \delta_{\chi}^{\rho} - \delta_{\chi}^{\mu} \delta_{\lambda}^{\rho}) (R_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}})_{\mu}^{\lambda} \wedge (R_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathrm{eq}})_{\rho}^{\chi}, \tag{30}$$

and decompose into five terms

$$E_{\rm W}^{\rm eq} = Q_0^4 + Q_1^3 + Q_2^2 + Q_3^1 + Q_4^0, \tag{31}$$

$$P_{\rm W}^{\rm eq} = G_0^4 + G_1^3 + G_2^2 + G_3^1 + G_4^0, \tag{32}$$

⁴ The zeroth class is trivially 1 while the second (and the highest) class is the square of the Euler class.

where the upper index refers to the form degree on $Met(\Sigma)$ while the lower one refers to the form degree on Σ . These expressions are to be compared with (2.9) of [14].⁵ Observables extracted from monomials $(E_W^{eq})^m (P_W^{eq})^n$.

$$(E_{W}^{eq})^{m} (P_{W}^{eq})^{n} = V_{0}^{4(m+n)} + V_{1}^{4(m+n)-1} - V_{2}^{4(m+n)-2} + V_{3}^{4(m+n)-3} + V_{4}^{4(m+n)-4},$$
(33)

with

$$V_0^{4(m+n)} = (Q_0^4)^m (G_0^4)^n, \tag{34}$$

$$V_1^{4(m+n)-1} = n(Q_0^4)^m (G_0^4)^{n-1} G_1^3 + m(Q_0^4)^{m-1} Q_1^3 (G_0^4)^n,$$
(35)

$$V_{2}^{4(m+n)-2} = n(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{2}^{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-2}(G_{1}^{3})^{2} +mn(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{1}^{3} + m(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{2}^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n} + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-2}Q_{2}^{2}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n},$$
(36)

$$V_{3}^{4(m+n)-3} = n(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{3}^{1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-2}G_{2}^{2}G_{1}^{3} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-3}(G_{1}^{3})^{3} + mn(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{1}^{3}(Q_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{2}^{2} + m\frac{n(n-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-2}(G_{1}^{3})^{2} + mn(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{2}^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{1}^{3} + n\frac{m(m-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-2}(Q_{1}^{3})^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{1}^{3} + m(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{3}^{1}(G_{0}^{4})^{n} + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-2}Q_{2}^{2}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n} + \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{6}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-3}(Q_{1}^{3})^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n},$$
(37)
$$V_{4}^{4(m+n)-4} = n(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{0}^{4} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-3}(G_{1}^{3})^{2}G_{2}^{2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{24}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-4}(G_{1}^{3})^{4} + mn(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{1}^{3}$$

⁵ In earlier references [11] devoted to algebraic studies of topological gravity, one can find similar formulae whose geometrical meaning is given here.

$$+m\frac{n(n-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-2}G_{2}^{2}G_{1}^{3}$$

$$+m\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-3}(G_{1}^{3})^{3}$$

$$+mn(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{2}^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{2}^{2}$$

$$+m\frac{n(n-1)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-2}(Q_{1}^{3})^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{2}^{2}$$

$$+\frac{mn(m-1)(n-1)}{4}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-2}(Q_{1}^{3})^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-2}(G_{1}^{3})^{2}$$

$$+mn(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{1}^{3}$$

$$+n\frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-2}Q_{2}^{2}Q_{1}^{3}(G_{0}^{4})^{n-1}G_{1}^{3}$$

$$+m(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-1}Q_{0}^{4}(G_{0}^{4})^{n}$$

$$+\frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{2}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-2}(Q_{2}^{2})^{2}+Q_{1}^{3}Q_{1}^{3})(G_{0}^{4})^{n}$$

$$+\frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{6}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-3}(Q_{1}^{3})^{2}Q_{2}^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n}$$

$$+\frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{6}(Q_{0}^{4})^{m-3}(Q_{1}^{3})^{2}Q_{2}^{2}(G_{0}^{4})^{n}$$

$$(38)$$

Next, we replace ω and Ω by a Diff(Σ)-connection θ and its curvature Θ on Met(Σ). The corresponding forms fulfill the "descent" equations

$$\delta V_p^{4n-p} + d_{\Sigma} V_{p-1}^{4n-p+1} = 0, \tag{39}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda)V_{p}^{4n-p} + i_{\Sigma}(\lambda)V_{p+1}^{4n-p-1} = 0,$$
(40)

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda)V_p^{4n-p} + l_{\Sigma}(\lambda)V_p^{4n-p} = 0, \tag{41}$$

where \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{L} are the inner product and Lie derivative on Met(Σ). Finally, we integrate over cycles on Σ to obtain forms on Met(Σ) only

$$V^{4n-p} = \oint_{\gamma_p} V_p^{4n-p}.$$
 (42)

Exactly as in the 2D-gravity, only

$$V^{4n-4} = \oint_{\Sigma} V_4^{4n-4} \tag{43}$$

defines an equivariant form on Met(Σ). This gives observables of Gr_4^{top} , which are the analogues of the Mumford invariants appearing in Gr_2^{top} .

An explicit expression of the Q's and the G's is given in Appendix A.

5. Conclusion

All the work done above can be applied to higher-dimensional gravity theory. Of course this also applies to Yang–Mills topological theory. Nevertheless, in this last case things are much simpler since the gauge group does not act on the space–time manifold Σ , while in gravity theory the diffeomorphism group does.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Raymond Stora for drawing to my attention the work of S. Wu and for many helpful discussions.

Appendix A

It was already shown in [12] that the Weil curvature takes the form

$$(R_{W}^{eq})_{\mu}^{\nu} = (R^{LC} - i_{\Sigma}(\omega)R^{LC} + \frac{1}{2}i_{\Sigma}(\omega)i_{\Sigma}(\omega)R^{LC} + \frac{1}{2}D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2}i_{\Sigma}(\omega)D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\psi}\tilde{\psi} + \frac{1}{2}D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}})_{\mu}^{\nu},$$
(A.1)

where

$$\bar{\tilde{\gamma}}_{\mu} = (\delta g_{\rho\mu} - l\Sigma(\omega)g_{\rho\mu}) \,\mathrm{d}x^{\rho} = \tilde{\gamma}_{\rho\mu} \,\mathrm{d}x^{\rho}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}^{\nu}_{\mu} = g^{\rho\nu} (\delta g_{\rho\mu} - l_{\Sigma}(\omega)g_{\rho\mu}) = g^{\rho\nu} (\tilde{\gamma}_{\rho\mu}) = (g^{-1}\tilde{\gamma})^{\nu}_{\mu}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$(D^{\rm LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}})^{\nu}_{\mu} = g^{\rho\nu} (D\rho^{\rm LC} \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}_{\mu} - D\mu^{\rm LC} \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\rho}). \tag{A.4}$$

Then, after a "straightforward" algebraic juggle, one finally obtains

$$Q_4^0 = \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}} g_{\nu\lambda} g_{\sigma\chi} (R^{\mathrm{LC}})^{\lambda}_{\mu} \wedge (R^{\mathrm{LC}})^{\chi}_{\rho} = E_{\Sigma}, \qquad (A.5)$$

$$Q_{3}^{1} = 2 \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}} g_{\nu\lambda} g_{\sigma\chi} (R^{\mathrm{LC}})^{\lambda}_{\mu} \wedge \left(-i_{\Sigma}(\omega) R^{\mathrm{LC}} + \frac{1}{2} D^{\mathrm{LC}} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}} \right)^{\chi}_{\rho}, \qquad (A.6)$$

$$Q_2^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}} g_{\nu\lambda} g_{\sigma\chi} [(i_{\Sigma}(\omega)(R^{LC})^{\lambda}_{\mu} \wedge (i_{\Sigma}(\omega)R^{LC})^{\chi}_{\rho} - 2(i_{\Sigma}(\omega)R^{LC})^{\lambda}_{\mu} \wedge (D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\gamma})^{\chi}_{\rho} + (D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\gamma})^{\lambda}_{\mu} \wedge (D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\gamma})^{\chi}_{\rho}$$

$$+ (R^{LC})^{\lambda}_{\mu} \wedge (i_{\Sigma}(\omega)i_{\Sigma}(\omega)R^{LC} - i_{\Sigma}(\omega)(D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\psi}\tilde{\psi} - D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}})^{\chi}_{\rho}], \qquad (A.7)$$

$$Q_{1}^{3} = \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}} g_{\nu\lambda} g_{\sigma\chi} (i_{\Sigma}(\omega) i_{\Sigma}(\omega) R^{LC} - i_{\Sigma}(\omega) (D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi} - D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\Omega})_{\mu}^{\lambda} \wedge (-i_{\Sigma}(\omega) R^{LC} + \frac{1}{2} D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}})_{\rho}^{\chi},$$
(A.8)
$$Q_{0}^{4} = \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{4\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}} g_{\nu\lambda} g_{\sigma\chi} (i_{\Sigma}(\omega) i_{\Sigma}(\omega) R^{LC} - i_{\Sigma}(\omega) (D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi} - D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\Omega})_{\mu}^{\lambda} \wedge (i_{\Sigma}(\omega) (i_{\Sigma}(\omega) R^{LC} - i_{\Sigma}(\omega) (D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi} - D^{LC} \wedge \tilde{\Omega})_{\rho}^{\chi}.$$
(A.9)

Finally, the G's are obtained by replacing $(\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}/\sqrt{\mathbf{g}})g_{\nu\lambda}g_{\sigma\chi}$ in the Q's by $(\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\delta^{\rho}_{\chi}-\delta^{\mu}_{\chi}\delta^{\rho}_{\lambda})$.

References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, L. Jeffrey, Topological Lagrangians and cohomology, J. Geom. Phys. 7 (1990) 119.
- M. Bauer, F. Thuillier, Representatives of the Thom class of a vector bundle, ENSLAPP-A-574/96 1996, J. Geom. Phys., to be published.
- [3] L. Baulieu, I.M. Singer, Topological Yang-Mills symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Supl) 15 (1988) 12.
- [4] L. Baulieu, I.M. Singer, Conformally invariant gauge fixed actions for 2-D topological gravity, Comm. Math. Phys. 135 (1991) 253.
- [5] C.M. Becchi, R. Collina, C. Imbimbo, On the semi-relative condition for closed (topological strings, Phys. Lett. B 322 (1994) 79; A functional and lagrangian formulation of two dimensional topological gravity, in: Symmetry and Simplicity in Physics, Turin, 1994, p. 197.
- [6] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, Heat kernels and Dirac operators, Grundlehren des Mathematischen Wissenschasften, vol. 298, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [7] H. Cartan, Notion d'algèbre différentielle; application aux groupes de Lie et aux variétés où opère un groupe de Lie, Colloque de Topologie (Espaces Fibrés), Brussels 1950, CBRM, pp. 15–56.
- [8] M. Dubois-Violette, private communication.
- [9] J. Kalkman, BRST model for equivariant cohomology and representatives for the equivariant Thom class, Comm. Math. Phys. 153 (1993) 447.
- [10] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol. 2, Interscience, London, 1963.
- [11] R. Myers, V. Periwal, Topological gravity and moduli space, Nuclear Phys. B 333 (1990) 536; R. Myers, New observables for topological gravity, Nuclear Phys. B 343 (1990) 705. R. Myers, V. Periwal, Invariants of smooth 4-Manifolds from topological gravity, Nuclear Phys. B 361 (1991) 290.

228

- [12] R. Stora, F. Thuillier, J.C. Wallet, Algebraic structure of cohomological field theory models and equivariant cohomology, Lectures at the first Caribbean Spring School of Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Saint François, Guadeloupe, 30 May-13 June 1993, Proceedings 1995, preprint ENSLAPP-A-481/94.
- [13] E. Witten, Topological quantum field theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 353; Topological gravity, Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 601.
- [14] S. Wu, Appearance of universal bundle structure in four-dimensional topological gravity, J. Geom. Phys. 12 (1993) 205.